UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,847	07/14/2003	Philip G. Wessells	20003-7004	4338
PATENT LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL E. WOODS 16 Hazel Ct.			EXAMINER	
			MARSH, STEVEN M	
San Rafael, CA	San Rafael, CA 94901-5223		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3632	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/618,847	WESSELLS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	STEVEN M. MARSH	3632
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perionally reply or perionally reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ The 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 2-31 and 40-42 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2-31 and 40-42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) as Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	ccepted or b) objected to by the ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica iority documents have been receiveau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

Art Unit: 3632

DETAILED ACTION

This is the second office action for US Application 10/618,847 for a Multiuse Device Mounting System and Method. Claims 2-31 and 40-42 are pending.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show the couplers for attaching each leg into a single componenet as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner,

Application/Control Number: 10/618,847

Art Unit: 3632

the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 2-31 and 40-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification and drawings do not clearly describe the invention. For example, figures 1-3 show a "unitary" mode, wherein each assembly 110 is connected together to form a single assembly. However, if multiple assemblies are forming the unitary assembly, three assemblies 110 should be indicated in the drawing, rather than one. Also, Applicant has not illustrated the couplers in the drawing, which appear to be essential to transitioning the invention from a plurality of members to a single flexible assembly, which is the novel aspect of the invention. Additionally, in figure 5, the assemblies 110 are shown extending from the sides of the mounting head 430, but it is not clear what attaches them to the side of the head. Finally, the figures fail to show the mounting system as a single flexible system attached to a head and a plurality of legs attached to the head. Figures 1-3 shown the

Art Unit: 3632

single assembly attached to head 120, but Figures 4-7 show the plurality of legs attached to an alternate head 410.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 2,684,822 to Odin. Odin discloses repositionable mounting system with a means for repetitive positioning (3a, 3b, 3c, 4, and 5) into a plurality of orientations by moving an assembly into a first particular orientation wherein the assembly retains the particular orientation until the assembly is moved into a second particular orientation. There is also a means (1) coupled to a first end of the positioning means for engaging a first mating structure.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 2-16, 18-31 and 40-42 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Response to Arguments

With respect to claim 17, Applicant's arguments filed January 10, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In claim 17, Applicant appears to be attempting to invoke 112(6) and argue that the means plus function language is disclosed in the specification. However, the specification

Art Unit: 3632

does not specifically state what the means for repetitive positioning or means for engaging a first mating structure are in the claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to STEVEN M. MARSH whose telephone number is (571)272-6819. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 7:00 pm (Monday-Thusday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J Allen Shriver can be reached on 571-272-6698. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,847

Page 6

Art Unit: 3632

/Steven M Marsh/ Examiner, Art Unit 3632 October 26, 2009